














CASE	JUDGE	PROPOSED PHASE CONTENT	RESULT
<i>Cirba, Inc. d/b/a Densify et al v. VMware, Inc.</i> , C.A. 19-742, D.I. 1623 (D. Del. Mar. 7, 2023) (oral order)	Judge Williams	1. Direct infringement and invalidity; 2. Willfulness, knowledge and intent for induced infringement, and damages.	Denied. 
<i>Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Intercept Pharma Europe Ltd. v. Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp.</i> , C.A. 20-1105-MN, D.I. 331 (D. Del. Feb. 23, 2023)	Judge Noreika	1. Invalidity; 2. Infringement.	Denied. 
<i>Board of Regents, The University of Texas System et al v. Boston Scientific Corporation</i> , C.A. 18-392, D.I. 260 (D. Del. Dec. 2, 2022)	Judge Williams	1. Direct infringement and invalidity; 2. Willful infringement, damages, and knowledge and intent for induced infringement.	Granted. 
<i>AOB Products Company v. Good Sportsman Marketing, LLC</i> , C.A. 22-1264, D.I. 26 (D. Del. Jan. 9, 2023)	Judge Williams	1. Trademark infringement and related claims; 2. Damages.	Denied. 
<i>Wonderland Switzerland AG v. Evenflo Company, Inc.</i> , 1-20-727-JPM (D. Del. Dec. 15, 2022)	Judge McCalla (visiting)	1. Liability; 2. Willful Infringement.	Denied. 
<i>Victaulic Company v. ASC Engineered Solutions, LLC</i> , C.A. 20-887-GBW (D. Del. Oct. 3, 2022)	Judge Williams	1. Contract claims; 2. Patent claims.	Denied. 
<i>IOENGINE, LLC v. PayPal Holdings, Inc.</i> , C.A. 18-452-WCB (consolidated) (D. Del. Jun. 23, 2022)	Judge Bryson (visiting)	1. Liability including infringement, invalidity, and willfulness; 2. Damages.	Granted. 
<i>Personal Audio, LLC v. Google LLC</i> , C.A. 17-1751-CFC/CJB, D.I. 754 (D. Del. Feb. 28, 2022)	Judge Connolly	Not defined. “[T]here may be authenticity and related issues about the . . . spreadsheet that could result in jury speculation and confusion, and unfair prejudice to [defendant].” <i>Id.</i> at 2.	 The Court suggested bifurcation but has not yet ruled: “I am inclined to try the case in phases to avoid those pitfalls.” <i>Id.</i> at 2.
<i>Magnolia Medical Technologies, Inc. v. Kurin, Inc.</i> , C.A. 19-097-CFC (D. Del. Feb. 24, 2022) (oral order)	Judge Connolly	1. Direct infringement; 2. Invalidity, willfulness, and damages.	Granted. 

CASE	JUDGE	PROPOSED PHASE CONTENT	RESULT
<i>Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft zur Forderung der Angewandten Forschung E.V. v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.</i> , C.A. 17-184-JFB-SRF, D.I. 427 (D. Del. Apr. 22, 2021)	Judge Fallon	1. Elected claims; 2. Non-elected claims.	Denied. 
<i>Sprint Communications Company L.P. v. Charter Communications, Inc. et al.</i> , C.A. 17-1734-RGA (D. Del. Mar. 16, 2021)	Judge Andrews	1. Liability; 2. Damages and willfulness. “I treat the motion as though what Defendants request by asking for ‘bifurcation’ is to have a second jury decide damages and willfulness. I do not decide, for example, whether it would make sense to have one jury decide liability and damages in one phase and willfulness in a second phase.” <i>Id.</i> at 2.	Denied. 
<i>Evertz Microsystems Ltd. v. Lawo Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 19-302, D.I. 259 (D. Del. Feb. 23, 2021)	Judge Hall	1. Infringement 2. Damages	Denied. 
<i>Ingevity Corp. and Ingevity South Carolina, LLC v. BASF Corp.</i> , C.A. 18-1391-RGA-SRF, D.I. 150-2 (D. Del. Oct. 22, 2019); <i>Ingevity Corp. and Ingevity South Carolina, LLC v. BASF Corp.</i> , C.A. 18-1391-RGA-SRF, D.I. 246 (D. Del. Mar. 23, 2020)	Judge Fallon; adopted by Judge Andrews	1. Claims; 2. Counterclaims (including antitrust).	Granted. 
<i>Guardant Health, Inc. v. Personal Genome Diagnostics, Inc.</i> , C.A. 17-1623-LPS-CJB, D.I. 332 (D. Del. Jul. 23, 2019)	Judge Burke	1. Patent claims; 2. Antitrust counterclaims.	Denied. 