## U.S. District Court District of Delaware (Wilmington) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:20-cv-00125-LPS

Natera, Inc. v. ArcherDX, Inc. Assigned to: Judge Leonard P. Stark Related Cases: <u>1:20-cv-01352-LPS</u> <u>1:21-cv-00056-LPS</u> <u>1:21-cv-00669-LPS</u> <u>1:20-cv-01047-LPS</u> Date Filed: 01/27/2020 Jury Demand: Plaintiff Nature of Suit: 830 Patent Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Cause: 35:1 Patent Infringement

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
06/21/2021	234	ORAL ORDER: Having reviewed the materials submitted relating to various discovery disputes (see, e.g., D.I. 224, 225, 226, 228, 229), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: (i) Defendants' request for a schedule that coordinates discovery and consolidates trial with later–filed and non–similarly–situated Natera v. Genosity, C.A. No. 20–1352, is DENIED; (ii) the deadline for the completion of fact discovery in the instant action is EXTENDED from July 9 to September 3, 2021; and (iii) no later than July 3, 2021 the parties shall agree upon mutually–convenient dates and locations for completion of all fact depositions, including Rule 30(b)(6) depositions. As an initial matter, Defendants have not filed a motion (which could be accompanied by full briefing) as the Court required were Defendants to pursue the relief they now seek through their letter. (See D.I. 220) (denying Defendants' request for status conference and/or submission of letter briefs regarding possible coordinated or consolidated schedule, adding "Should any party wish to modify an existing schedule and/or seek coordination or consolidation, it may file a motion seeking such relief.") In any event, delaying the long–scheduled May 2022 trial to spring of 2023, as Defendants propose, would unfairly prejudice Plaintiff, who competes directly with them. Further, even Defendants have not shown good cause for the schedule modification they seek (other than with respect to extending fact discovery to permit completion of depositions. The Court does not agree with Plaintiff that the majority of deposition must occur in June and July, particularly given counsel's other commutents. The Court encourages the parties to explore ways to allow both cases to proceed efficiently (including considering agreeing that judgment in one case will bind the parties to the second case and/or treating all discovery in the first case as part of the record in the second case and/or treating all discovery in the first case as plaintiff's objection. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's req